Equilibrium Study of Spin-Glass Models: Monte Carlo Simulation and Multi-variate Analysis

Koji Hukushima

Department of Basic Science, University of Tokyo

mailto:hukusima@phys.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp

July 11-15, 2003

In collaboration with Prof. Y. Iba (Institute of Statistical Mathematics)



- Stability of the spin-glass state against temperature perturbation
 - Temperature chaos in spin glass state
- Our strategy: Simulation-data analysis
 - Eigenmode anlysis of the susceptibility matrix = PCA
 - application of the analysis to the SK Ising model
- Short-ranged Ising spin glass model
 - Our conclusion :

spin glass states in four dimensions are very sensitive to temperature change.



Stability or Fragility of the ordered state

• Simplest case : Ising ferromagnetic model below $T_{\rm c}$



An overlap between two valleys

$$q^{12} = q^{21} = -m^2(T)$$

$$q^{11} = q^{22} = +m^2(T)$$

The overlap distribution becomes trivial delta functions at $q = \pm m^2$.

– An overlap between equilibrium states at T and $T + \delta T$

 $q(T,T+\delta T)=\pm m(T)m(T+\delta T)\text{,}$

varying *smoothly* with T.

• The ferromagnetic ordered state is usually stable against temperature change.



Example: Instability of the ordered state against a perturbation A ground state of 1 dimensional random Ising spin : $H(S_i) = -\sum J_{i,i+1}S_iS_{i+1}$

Does the ground state change by adding a random perturbation term $\epsilon J'_{i,i+1}$?

- Ferromagnetic case $(J_{ij} = J)$ is stable when $\epsilon < J$
- Random system : An overlap correlation vanishes in a large length scale



• Origin of the perturbation term could be due to temperature change.



Fragility of the glassy state in disordered Systems

• Stable case



Temperature

• Unstable case



- Temperature Chaos : Stability against temperature perturbation. The equilibrium states at different temperatures are *TOTALLY DIFFERENT*. \implies The overlap $q(T, T + \delta T)$ is ZERO



NO LEVEL CROSSING

function at $T + \delta T$.

The lowest free-energy state at

T ALSO dominates the partition

"Chaotic Nature of the Spin-Glass Phase"

A. J. Bray and M. A. Moore: Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 57 (1987).
D. S. Fisher and D. A. Huse: Phys. Rev. B 38, 386 (1988).



 $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{\text{Free-energy difference at }T}{\Delta F(T) = \Delta E - T\Delta S \sim \Upsilon L^{\theta}} \\ \quad \theta: \text{ stiffness exponent} \\ \Upsilon: \ T \text{ dependent stiffness constant} \\ \hline \text{Change the temperature to } T + \delta T \\ \hline \Delta F(T + \delta T) \ \simeq \ \Delta E - (T + \delta T)\Delta S \end{array}$

 $\simeq \Upsilon L^{\theta} - \delta T \Delta S.$

 $\frac{\text{Entropy difference of the droplet surface}}{\Delta S \sim \pm L^{d_s/2}: d_s: \text{ fractal dimension}}$

If $d_s/2 > \theta$, $\Delta F(T + \delta T) \simeq \Upsilon L^{\theta} + \delta T L^{d_s/2}$ can CHANGE the sign.

 \implies The equilibrium state should change on a length scale $L(\delta T) \sim \delta T^{-\frac{1}{d_s/2-\theta}}$.



K. Hukushima

Chaos exponent ζ and **Stiffness Exponent** θ

- Chaos exponent: $\zeta = d_s/2 \theta > 0 \Longrightarrow$ CHAOS Lyapunov exponent
- Stiffness exponent θ :
 - mean-field picture (mean-field model): $\theta = 0$.
 - short-ranged SG model in three dimensions: $\theta \simeq 0.2$. (Numerical estimation)





Against Temperature Chaos...

- I. Kondor, J. Phys. A **22**, L163 (1989) On chaos in spin glass
- A. Billoire and E. Marinari, J. Phys. A **33**, L265 (2000), Evidences Against Temperature Chaos in Mean Field and Realistic Spin Glasses
- T. Rizzo, J. Phys. A **34**, 5531 (2001), <u>Against Chaos in Temperature in Mean-Field Spin Glass Models.</u>
- R. Mulet, A. Pagnani, and G. Parisi, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 184438 (2001), *Against temperature chaos in naive Thouless-Anderson-Palmer equations*
- A. Billoire and E. Marinari, cond-mat/0202473, Overlap Among States at Different Temperatures in the SK Model.



Experiment 1: Memory and Chaos Effects in Spin Glasses

J. Hammann, et al : J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 69 (2000)Suppl. A, 206–211. (Saclay-Uppsala experiments, 1992)

- Temeprature cycling experiment
- Rejuvenation(Chaos) effect: long relaxation process at T_1 does not play any role for the relaxation at a different temperature.

The ordered states seem to depend on temperature. \rightarrow Temperature Chaos??

• Memory effect:

The system keeps information that relaxation has previously been done during the interval t_1 at T_1 .



Fig. 7. $CdCr_{1.7}In_{0.3}S_4$ spin glass $(T_g = 16.7K)$: effect of a negative temperature cycling 12K - > 10K - > 12K on the time dependence of χ'' (f=0.01Hz). The inset shows the relaxation measured during t_3 plotted in continuation of the initial relaxation during t_1 (the solid line is a relaxation at $0.72T_g$ without temperature cycling).



Our strategy: Eigenmode Analysis of susceptibility matrix

- Conventional approach: Overlap between two temperatures T_1 and $T_2 = T_1 + \delta T$.
 - TAP solution (equation of states) in mean-field models:

$$q'(T_1, T_2) \equiv \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_i m_i(T_1) m_i(T_2)\right]_J$$

- MC simulation:

$$q^{(2)}(T_1, T_2) \equiv \left[\left\langle \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_i S_i(T_1) S_i(T_2) \right)^2 \right\rangle_{T_1, T_2} \right]_J$$

• **Our approach**: Eigenmodes of the susceptibility matrix and their temperature dependence.

$$\chi_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial h_i \partial h_j} F(\{h_i\}) \bigg|_{h=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial h_i} \langle S_j \rangle \bigg|_{h=0} = \beta \langle S_i S_j \rangle$$



Our strategy (2): Eigenmode Analysis of susceptibility matrix

STEP 1: Monte Carlo Simulation

- Perform MC simulation
 - use the extended ensemble method to avoid extremely slow relaxation in random systems.
 - * Multicanonical MC (Berg–Neuhaus)
 - * Simulated tempering (Marinari–Parisi)
 - * Exchange MC (Hukushima–Nemoto, Parallel tempering)
- Generate M spin configurations $\Longrightarrow \{S_i^1\}, \{S_i^2\}, \{S_i^3\}, \cdots, \{S_i^M\}$

STEP 2: Multivariate analysis of the simulation data

- Eigenmode analysis = Principal component analysis (PCA)
- Calculate Susceptibility matrix (or Hamming distance matrix)

$$C_{ij} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\mu}^{M} (S_i^{\mu} - \overline{S_i}) (S_j^{\mu} - \overline{S_j}) \text{ with } \overline{S_i} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\mu} S_i^{\mu}.$$

• diagonalize the matrix



Multivariate Analysis of Simulation data

- theory: Eigenmode of the susceptibility matrix in spin glasses.
 - A. J. Bray and M. A. Moore, J. Phys. **C15** (1982) L765.
- Numerical Examination
 - Nemoto-Yamada, Bussei-Kenkyu (Kyoto) 74 (2000) 122.
 - J. Sinova, G. Canright and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 2609.
- Cluster analysis of the simulation data
 - E. Domany, G.Hed, M. Palassini and A.P.Young, Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 224406.
- Finite mixture,
 - Iba-Hukushima, Prog. Theor. Phys. 138 (2000) 462.
 - Marinari-Martin-Zuliani, cond-mat/0103534

• Protein, ... PCA



nformation Processing

Application to the SK model 1



2003/06/11-15 12

K. Hukushima

SG and Ferromagnetic phase in the SK model with N = 128





Eigenvector corresponds to the ordering pattern.

- SG phase: random vector
- F Phase : uniform

Temperature dependence of the largest 5 eigenvalues





Application to the SK model 3

- PCA plot Histogram of the MC simulation data projected onto;
 - x axis: the largest eigenvector $x^{\mu} = \sum_{i} S^{\mu}_{i} e^{1st}_{i}$

– y axis:

the next largest eigenvector $y^{\mu} = \sum_i S^{\mu}_i e^{2nd}_i$

• Free-energy landscape?





...a spin-glass model in finite dimensions.



Temp. dep. of eigenmode in SG phase : the first eigenvector

4 dimensional $\pm J$ Ising EA Model using the dual trick method





The second eigenvector...

$4d \pm J$ Ising EA Model using the dual trick method

The second eigenvalue is also of order N, namely *Extensive*.

Green Overlap of 1st eigenvec.

Red Overlap of 2nd one.

one adjustable parameter for the scaling axis.

- the same scaling function.
- And, the exponent $\zeta \simeq 1.3$ agrees with that of bond perturbation in the same model (M. Ney-Nifle,PRB57, 492(1998)).

Finite size scaling $r_{T_0}(\Delta T, L) = F(L/L_{\mathrm{ovl}})$ with $L_{\mathrm{ovl}} = \Delta T^{1/\zeta}$ 1.1 0.9 0.8 r_1 and r_2 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 12 2 8 10 14 0 4 6 factor $L\Delta T^{1/\zeta}$



About the scaling function...

- The overlap $r(\Delta T)$ is unity when $\Delta T = 0$.
- According to Bray-Moore argument, the deviation from unity, $1 r(\Delta T)$ is due to a droplet excitation with size L, whose probability by entropy gain is expressed as

$$p \sim \frac{\Delta T L^{d_s/2}}{\Upsilon L^{\theta}} = \frac{L^{\zeta} \Delta T}{\Upsilon}$$

• When the droplet size is of order of the system size, the deviation of $r(\Delta T)$ becomes O(1). Thus,

$$1 - r \propto p = \frac{L^{\zeta} \Delta T}{\Upsilon}$$

Scaling function 1 - r vs $L^{\zeta} \Delta T$



 $f(x)\equiv 1-r(x)$ is linear in $x=L^{\zeta}\Delta T$ for $x\ll 1.$



- We have investigated the fragility of the spin glass state in four dimensions :
 - from an new view point, which is temperature dependence of eigenmode of the susceptibility matrix (=PCA).
 - Using the finite-size scaling, the eigenmode is found to be very sensitive to the temperature change, suggesting Temperature Chaos
 - The value of the scaling exponent ζ is consistent with that obtained by other perturbation.
 - Against 'the against ...'
 - * F. Krzakala and O .C .Martin, cond-mat/0203449, Eur. Phys. J. B28 (2002) 199.

"Chaotic temperature dependence in a model of spin glasses".

- * K. Hukushima and Y. Iba, cond-mat/0207123.
- * T. Aspelmeier, A. J. Bray, M. A. Moore, cond-mat/0207300, PRL89, (2002) 197202.

"Why temperature chaos in spin glasses is hard to observe"

* T.Rizzo and A. Crisanti, PRL 90, (2003) 137201.

"Chaos in Temperature in the SK model"... 9th order perturbation theory.

